Vote No. 401

September 8, 1995, 9:42 a.m. Page S-12876 Temp. Record

## WELFARE REFORM BILL/Federal Funds Must be Used Like State Funds

SUBJECT: Family Self-Sufficiency Act of 1995... H.R. 4. Brown amendment No. 2465 to the Dole modified perfecting amendment No. 2280 to the committee substitute amendment.

## **ACTION: AMENDMENT AGREED TO, 92-6**

**SYNOPSIS:** As reported with a committee substitute amendment, H.R. 4, the Family Self-Sufficiency Act of 1995, will overhaul 6 of the Nation's 10 largest welfare programs.

The Dole modified perfecting amendment would strike the provisions of the committee substitute amendment and insert in lieu thereof substitute provisions, entitled "The Work Opportunity Act of 1995."

**The Brown amendment** would require States to expend any Federal block grant funds they receive under this Act in accordance with the laws and procedures applicable to expenditures of their own revenues.

## Those favoring the amendment contended:

The core and essence of this welfare reform proposal is that States and communities can do a better job in deciding how their funds are expended on welfare programs than can a centrally planned government thousands of miles distant. In 44 of the States, this proposal to give States block grants will result in decentralization, but in 6 States, it will result in an unwelcome concentration of power that we believe will be unconstitutional. Article IV, section 4 of the Constitution guarantees every State a republican form of government with separate legislative and executive branches. The Founding Fathers intended for power to be diffuse at the State level as well as at the Federal level. As James Madison put it in the Federalist Papers No. 47, "There can be no liberty where the legislative and executive powers are united in the same person or body or magistrates. \* \* \* The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands, whether of one, a few, or many, and whether hereditary, self-appointed, or elective, may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny." Giving welfare block grants to these 6 States in which the governors not only have the executive power to administer the funds, but the legislative power to appropriate the funds for the programs that they wish,

(See other side)

YEAS (92)				NAYS (6)		NOT VOTING (2)	
Republican (47 or 90%)		Den	Democrats		Democrats (1 or 2%)	Republicans	Democrats
		(45 or 98%)		(5 or 10%)		(2)	(0)
Abraham Bennett Bond Brown Burns Campbell Cohen Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Dole Domenici Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Hatch Hatfield Helms Hutchison	Inhofe Jeffords Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Nickles Packwood Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thurmond Warner	Akaka Baucus Bingaman Boxer Bradley Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Exon Feingold Feinstein Ford Glenn Graham Harkin Heflin Hollings	Inouye Johnston Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Kohl Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Nunn Pell Pryor Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon Wellstone	Ashcroft Chafee Coats Gregg Thompson	Biden	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 401 SEPTEMBER 8, 1995

thus will allow an unconstitutional concentration of power in those States. Making matters worse, these governors will also have an indirect judicial role, because this bill will give them veto authority over auditors who will be appointed to monitor their expenditure of Federal funds. They will thus appropriate the money, spend the money, and pick the judge to review how they spent the money. With categorical grants, Congress designs the programs on which the governors may spend money. With block grants, though, no Federal or State legislative input will be provided. We think this lack of legislative input is unconstitutional. Accordingly, we have proposed the Brown amendment, which would have the effect of requiring the State legislatures in these 6 States to decide how to spend Federal block grant welfare funds. We urge our colleagues to support republicanism by supporting this amendment.

## **Those opposing** the amendment contended:

The Brown amendment is targeted at the 6 States that have separate procedures for deciding how to spend Federal and State funds. In those 6 States, the governors decide how to spend Federal block grant money. Some Senators object to that arrangement. For our part, we do not pass judgment, because we do not think it is any of our business. The legislatures of those 6 States passed laws giving their governors authority over Federal funds. If those State legislatures decide they want to change this authority, they are free to enact new laws. The Federal Government should not be in the business of telling State governments how to conduct their own affairs. We thus urge the rejection of the Brown amendment.